Technology transfer and intellectual property rights in a post-Kyoto regime

Dr. Samantha Gregory

Introduction

- Mechanisms to facilitate, promote, develop and manage transfer of knowledge that vests in clean energy technology.
- Clear link between R&D / technology transfer & Intellectual Property Rights (IPR).
- IPR influences the manner in which new technology is transferred (multi faceted impact)

Background

- Clean energy technology reduce CO₂
- Energy production → CO₂ → 80%
- N. America (30%) / Europe (15%) / Africa (3%).
- Africa and other developing will suffer the brunt of climate change.

Developing Countries

- Development requires energy
- Possibility of Clean Energy Technology having an economic, environmental as well as a developmental value?
 - Infrastructural requirements can be developed around the new technology.
 - Abundant clean energy sources
- Sustainable use of energy is imperative for sustainable development!

Climate Change Technology

- Includes any technology that has a smaller environmental footprint.
- Neither UNFCCC nor Kyoto Protocol defines Clean Technology.
- IPR problem

Table 1. Average Annual Growth Rates of Renewable Energy Sources (1st Generation)

ENERGY SOURCE	1970-1980	1980-1990	1990-2001
Renewables	3.2%	2.4%	1.2%
Biomass	3.5%	3.0%	1.6%
Hydro	2.6%	0.7%	0.4%
Geothermal	8.3%	9.4%	0.4%
Wind/Solar	6.4%	23.5%	23.1%

Source – Renewable Energy Market & Policy Trends in IEA Countries, IEA 2004

- 2nd Generation renewables are showing impressive growth (due to R&D funding)
- Yet, fractional contribution as compared to 1st generation renewables.

Specific Technologies

- Wind Technology
- Photovoltaics (PV)
- Concentrated Solar Power (CSP)
- Biomass

IPR in Clean Technology

- US and Japan leading patent filing countries (Chatham House report)
- Climate Group existing technology sufficient

TRIPS

- Clean Energy Technology patentable
- Must be afforded patent protection
- Art 27(2)
- Art 8
- Compulsory licensing

Compulsory licensing -TRIPS

- Individual merits
- Authorisation
- Limited scope and duration
- Non-exclusive & Non-assignable
- Terminate, reviewable, financial compensation, judicial review

South African Patent Legislation

- New and inventive step
- Trade, Industry and agriculture
- Discoveries & scientific theories not patentable
- Compulsory licensing
 - Dependent patents
 - Abuse of patents

Compulsory licensing – Dependent

- Working of a patent results in infringement
- Important technical advance
- Considerable economic significance
- Cross-license

Compulsory license - abuse

- Any interested person
- Not worked, demand not met
- Public interest
- Excessive imported price
- License on reasonable terms refused.

IPRs

- Barrier & stimulus
- Exhaustion of rights
- Specific improvements & features
- Export of product protected by process patent

Technology Transfer

- Facilitation
 - Assignment
 - Licensing
 - Voluntary
 - Compulsory (no blanket compulsory licensing)
 - -JV
 - Domestic R&D
- Different to the IPR in pharmaceutical industry

Technology Transfer

- Not merely the transfer to IPRs
- But IPRs identified as a cross-cutting issue
- Barrier & stimulus
- Specific improvements are patented more that basis technologies

Technology transfer

SEARCH TERM	SOUTH AFRICA	USA	EPO	INDIA	PCT	CHINA
Photovoltaic	54	3893	1164	48	1739	1009
Ethanol	111	4251	1427	56	2127	4542
Biofuel	0	117	38	0	147	38
Wind Energy	28	1333	423	9	830	2465
Wind Power	21	1946	560	12	1176	3490
Concentrated solar power	0	68	3	0	24	12
Concentrated Solar Energy	0	213	14	0	73	39

Technology transfer

- Facilitation
- Article 4.1(c) UNFCCC
- Article 4.5 UNFCCC
- Article 10 Kyoto
 - Peremptory
- Johannesburg Plan of Implementation

Technology transfer

- Bali Action Plan
- Article 66.2 of TRIPS
- Expert Group on Technology Transfer
- Global Environment Facility