

Network of Southern Think-Tanks (NeST) Africa Technical Workshop
Developing a Framework for South-South Cooperation

Assessing the Quality and Effectiveness of South-South Cooperation

Bernadette G. Vega
COMEXI-AMEXCID (Mexico)

INFORME DE LA COOPERACIÓN
SUR-SUR EN IBEROAMÉRICA
2013-2014



Informe 2013-2014

VER +

Report on South-South Cooperation
in Ibero-America 2012



Informe 2012

VER +

Estadística SCSUR n°4
Informe de la Cooperación Sur-Sur
en Iberoamérica 2011



Informe 2011

VER +

Estadística SCSUR n°3
Informe de la Cooperación Sur-Sur
en Iberoamérica 2010



Informe 2010

VER +

Estadística SCSUR n°2
Informe de la Cooperación Sur-Sur
en Iberoamérica 2009



Informe 2009

VER +

Estadística SCSUR n°1
II Informe de la Cooperación Sur-Sur
en Iberoamérica



Informe 2008

VER +

Estadística SCSUR
Informe de la Cooperación en Iberoamérica



Informe 2007

VER +

- *Guidelines/Methodology*
- *Indicators*
- *Workshops*
- *Peer support*

Matriz II.3. Proyectos de CHSS Bilateral, según selección de principales oferentes. 2012.

Proyectos, en unidades; participación, como porcentaje del total recibido.

II.3.A. EN NÚMERO DE PROYECTOS

OFERENTES	RECEPTORES								TOTAL
	Resto	Argentina	Brasil	Colombia	Ecuador	México	Chile	Uruguay	
Resto	4	1		1	16	4			26
Argentina	61			4+(4)	12	0+(5)		7+(1)	94
Brasil	116	5		6	7	5+(1)	1	8	149
Colombia	31	1+(4)	1		4	1	1	3+(2)	48
Ecuador	13							1	14
México	74	1+(5)	0+(1)	3	14		0+(9)		107
Chile	24	2			13	0+(9)		4	52
Uruguay	8	1+(1)		3+(2)			1		16
TOTAL	331	21	2	23	66	25	12	26	506

II.3.B. PESO DE CADA SOCIO SOBRE LOS TOTALES RECIBIDOS

OFERENTES	RECEPTORES								TOTAL
	Resto	Argentina	Brasil	Colombia	Ecuador	México	Chile	Uruguay	
Resto	1,2	4,8		4,3	24,2	16,0			5,1
Argentina	18,4			34,8	18,2	20,0		30,8	18,6
Brasil	35,0	23,8		26,1	10,6	24,0	8,3	30,8	29,4
Colombia	9,4	23,8	50,0		6,1	4,0	8,3	19,2	9,5
Ecuador	3,9							3,8	2,8
México	22,4	28,6	50,0	13,0	21,2		75,0		21,1
Chile	7,3	9,5			19,7	36,0		15,4	10,3
Uruguay	2,4	9,5		21,7			8,3		3,2
TOTAL	100,0	100,0	100,0	100,0	100,0	100,0	100,0	100,0	100,0

Nota: En negrita, los valores máximos para cada receptor. Entre paréntesis, los proyectos registrados como bidireccionales.

Gráfico II.2. Índice de concentración y dispersión de la CHSS Bilateral, según país. 2012.

Países ordenados de más a menos peso relativo. Valor de Índice de Herfindahl, con cuatro decimales.

GRÁFICO II.2.A. PRINCIPALES OFERENTES





GRÁFICO II.2.B. PRINCIPALES RECEPTORES



Diversificación (<0,10)
 Moderadamente concentrado (0,10-0,18)
 Concentrado (>0,18)

Nota: Para que los resultados sean mínimamente significativos, el Índice de Herfindahl se calcula para oferentes y receptores que ofrecieron/recibieron un mínimo de 10 proyectos en el año 2012 (sólo proyectos, no acciones).

Fuente: SEGIB a partir de PIFCSS (2013) y declaraciones de las Agencias y Direcciones Generales de Cooperación.

Data



Basic data (project level)

- Name of the project
- Objective
- Partner
- Role of each partner in the project
- Type of cooperation by actors involved
- Sector
- Subsector
- Origin
- Legal framework
- Date of approval
- Period of implementation
- Budget planned
- Disbursements

...

- Activities
 - Modality
 - Period of implementation
 - Experts (number of days, level, etc.)
 - \$ Disbursed

...

After reporting

- Experts
- People trained
- Degree of adaptability to the local context (expectations)
- Indicators (progress)
- Challenges during the activity (content, context)
- Recommendations for future activities
- Possible adjustment
- Budget balance
- Benefit perceived by experts
- Further exchanges proposed

...

About indicators...

- **Types:** Inputs, process, outputs, and outcomes.
- Usefulness: depends on what one is aiming to measure, achieve or prove.
- A combination of **quantitative** (how much & how many) and **qualitative** indicators (how & why) works best
- **Indicators alone cannot capture impact** but do enable comparisons between different projects, programmes and organizations
- indicators gain strength when used as part of a basket of indicators

Dimension

- # of projects
- Cost of cooperation (by project)
- # of project by country/ by region/ by sector
- # of projects and actions by modality
- # projects by program
- Value of the cooperation (by project/ by country)
- % of horizontal projects*
- % of projects designed in a multipronged approach*

Priorities

- Comprehensive cooperation policy
- Priorities/sector/topics mutually agreed by program*
- % Projects proposed /actually approved (by country, by sector)

Inputs

- Cost of project/cost of the overall program/cost of cooperation provided (by region, modality)
- # of experts participating in the projects (by country, by role)
- # of technicians directly engaged in technical cooperation exchanges*
- # of projects with private sector/CSO involvement*
- Cost of equipment/total estimated cost of the project

Efficiency & Effectiveness

- Budgeted cost/total estimated cost/disbursements
- % of projects that achieved its objectives

Process


- % of projects offered that emerged from a previous cooperation project*
- % of projects that derived from previous inter-institutional collaboration*
- % of projects that emerged from joint cooperation mechanisms*
- % of projects that emerged from high level commitments*
- % of projects by national capacity documented*
- Time average between approval and implementation

At the regional level...

- Distribution of cooperation by sector/by modality
- Distribution of the cooperation by role
- Concentration of the cooperation by country
- Concentration of demand/capacities available in the region

Outputs/Outcomes

- Scholarships granted/available scholarships*
- Scholarships granted/candidates (by country)*
- % of projects that derived in organizational change*
- % of projects that contributed to policies



**More indicators...*

- Development cooperation plans and designs including the link of the demand to the partner countries' development priorities/sustainability plan (% or #).
- Cooperation initiatives agreed in the framework of institutionalized joint mechanisms (% or #).
- % of cooperation initiatives or budget covered by indicative multiannual programs
- % of countries that undertake inclusive mutual assessment of progress
- % of fulfilled projects according to plan.
- Publication of data on a timely, open and systematic way (frequency proposed: annual publication)